

The OJCT has considered the following key **objectives** to evolve the current ranking system (Appendix 2) that would allow for the creation of more meaningful and relevant outcomes for teams and individual athletes who participate on Tour

- The objective of the ranking system should be to create a system that fairly allows for teams who participate in different levels of competition U21 and U18 in Ontario (and beyond Ontario) to be ranked against each age category and not just one (U21) as the current system does
- The ranking system and the results of these rankings should be able to be used for development purposes such as, but not be limited to;
  - use by events to rank teams within an event for fairer play
  - to determine entry in events with a limited field and;
  - to allow for direct entry into select competitions

As reference, the current OJCT ranking system (Appendix 2) is predominantly based on size of field metrics only for an event, puts no limits on the number of events where points can be earned and covers a season which usually starts in September and ends with points earned at the Provincial Championships in any one year for the U21 cohort only. A methodology existed for U18 teams to earn points for U18 events but it is not necessarily fair and balanced with the single collection level methodology used in the old system. **Given these limitations, a new set of principles had to be developed that apply to how points are calculated and collected for ranking purposes to meet the objectives stated.**

The **principles** below form the basis of evolving the current OJCT ranking system to meet these objectives but also, most importantly, as a pilot program of the Curling Canada National Junior Ranking system initiative. The National Junior Ranking system initiative has set as its core premise, a desire to standardize talent identification through performance. This aligned with the strategic priority of meaningful competitions in the Long- Term Athlete Development (LTAD) model.

These proposed new principles specifically address the limitations in the current system and align a new ranking system with systems like ones used in golf and tennis plus current systems used for curling (CTRS/OOM and other provincial junior tour ranking systems). They also introduce new ideas which will be part of a national trial.

## Principles

### 1. Wrap-around season with carry-forward points

- I. **Season Starting Point for Accumulation of Points** - Establishing a new starting point for points accumulation that would start for all sanctioned events after the Provincial Championships participants have been determined (Qualifiers and/or Challenge Round (mid-to-end of season in a traditional curling year) and wrap-around into the next traditional curling year. Given the current Curling Canada and OCA calendars, for U21 the season would start for events between December of one calendar year and November of the following calendar year. For U18, the season would start for events between March of one calendar year and February of the following calendar year.
- II. **Points Carry Forward and are Age Category Specific** - Points earned from the previous completed season carry forward over two curling seasons for the level they are earned only i.e. U21 or U18 and would be the starting point for the next season's points
- III. **Team and Individual Point Accrual** - Points accrue to the individual and the team therefore if the team reconfigures for the new accumulation season, carry-forward points will be re-calculated based on the members of the team for the subsequent season

### 2. Not all events are created as equal but will be ranked against one standard (base)

**level** - Events will earn different points based on express criteria all applied against a base level event defined below. Initial criteria include but are not limited to:

- I. **Major events** - The concept of major events or championships is introduced as the highest rank order of event (like what exists for golf and tennis). Criteria to define a major include elements such as one or more teams per draw from outside the province or country, major regional event, entry earned criteria (winning a previous event as the means of entry) and others to be determined. Up to five majors would be designated in any wrap-around year and they will be of a stature that they are expected to operate well into the future and would include the Provincial Championships in each year.
  - i. *These events will be valued at 2.5X the total point value of the base level event and only up to four events can be included in the total number of events for ranking in a wrap-around season.*
  - ii. *These events will be considered as majors for the 2017-18 season; U21 – Stu Sells U21, KW Junior Classic, Brokerlink-OVCA SuperSpiel<sup>1</sup>. The 2017 OCA U21 Provincials will be included in the 2018-19 season. For U18, these events will be considered as majors, Stu Sells U18, TCA Youth Championship U18. The U18 Provincials will be included in the 2018-19 season.*
- II. **Premier Tier events** - Events with higher prize and/or total outcome pools would rank next highest in total number of points available. An outcome can be

---

<sup>1</sup> SuperSpiel will require a modified strength of field index for 2017-18 based on the scope of the field in competition

defined by perceived or actual value of the prize i.e. an event which grants entry into a higher-level age event, a U21 event granting entry into an adult event, a U18 event granting entry into a U21 event, an event sending a team to an out-of-province, out-of-country competition.

- i. *The event point level for these events will be 2X the total point value of a base level event.*
  - ii. *Events in this category for 2017-18 season – U21 SuperSpiel Qualifiers, OCA U21 Qualifiers, OCA U18 Qualifiers. The 2018 OJCT Tour Championship moving to April will be included in the Premier Events for both U21 and U18 divisions for the 2018-19 season.*
- III. **Base line event** - The base level (regular) Tour event will be an event with eight teams in competition with a base line value of 100 points for an eight-team single gender event with the distribution based on the following model
  - i. **1<sup>st</sup> Place      25 points**
  - ii. **2<sup>nd</sup> Place      20 points**
  - iii. **3 & 4<sup>th</sup> Place   12.5 points**
  - iv. **5 – 8<sup>th</sup> Place    7.5 points**
- IV. **Size of field exceeding the base line event** - Events will be next ranked by number of teams in competition i.e. larger the field, the more points available for distribution and it would be proportional to the number of teams over the base level event.
  - i. *The size of field multiplier will be limited to a maximum of 2X of the base level based (16 entries).*
- V. **Mixed genders in competition events** - Events that promote single gender competition will rank higher than those that mix single gender teams in competition. If a single gender competition structure cannot or is not used in the event and the event is approved as Tour event, then the base level points are reduced to a level based on the percentage ratio of the smallest gender to the largest gender.
  - i. *For 2017-18 season, the U18 Oakville Optimist and Glendale U18 bonspiels will be approved Tour events using this ranking formula*
- VI. **Events using non-traditional game formats** - Events should be eight end or ten end games wherever possible to earn points. Those that don't use an approved game format, if approved as sanctioned events, would receive lower points for distribution based on a similar ratio to the gender modification i.e. six end games would be 3/4ths the base level points.
  - i. *For 2017-18 season, the U18 Oakville Optimist bonspiel will be approved Tour events using this ranking formula*
- VII. **Allow for multiple event formats** - Events can be run in any of multiple formats (round robin, knockouts, pools, etc.) to accommodate the size of field and venue(s) but, for an event to qualify and distribute any points, it must be able to show final results rankings preferably based on positions 1 to 8 (matching the baseline size of field) that can be clearly determined. Points are distributed to

positions 1-8 and if this cannot be determined, then points for those positions not in the final results 1-8 will not be awarded.

- VIII. **Win points for every event** - Points will be earned for games won by a team in any event and the event must certify that a game has been played for those points to be awarded.
- i. *Win points will use a base of 10 for a win plus the strength of field multiplier and awarded for all wins by a team in an event*
3. **Strength of field index used to recognize the quality of the field for any event** - A strength of field index (SFI) based on the teams participating in any one event will be applied to the total points available for each event based on the entrants one week prior to the event. The SFI will need to be normalized for the size of field against the base level field on an inverse ratio (i.e. base level field/number of teams in the field) (See Appendix 1 below for the strength of field matrix and Appendix 3 for an example). If a team in an event does not have a ranking yet a ranking can be reasonably determined based on their performance in a non-ranked area (i.e. out-of-country team), then that team shall be given a ranking equal to the average of the top four ranked teams in the event.
4. **Limiting the total number of events for inclusion in a wrap-around season** - The number of events that qualify for inclusion for the rankings in any wrap-around season would not exceed a specified number.
- i. *For the 2017-18 season, ten (10) events for each age category will be able to be included in the wrap-around season of which each team with carry forward points from the 2016-17 rankings<sup>2</sup> will be assumed to have five (5) events included in their 2017-18 rankings unless otherwise found to be less than that from the 2016-17 final rankings by event. Into 2018-19, up to 50% can carry-forward from the 2017-18 calendar events*
5. **Transferability of points for events out of region and not level specific** – Points are transferable, to a defined limit, between levels for points earned at a higher level (Adult for U21, U21 for U18). These events can be included in the events for ranking and points earned at events inside and outside of Ontario that meet all other event criteria including being part of a recognized tour and must be able to be normalized as per the standard applied for all other sanctioned events.
- i. *There is a limit to the number of events earned at other levels to apply to the overall points earned and ranking from playing at an upper level which shall be 20% of the maximum number of events counted for 2017-18 calendar events and for years beyond*
  - ii. *For teams playing in an event at an upper level where a ranking is required and/or moving up permanently from one level to another i.e. U18 to U21, the following formula shall calculate the number of points to be considered for*

---

<sup>2</sup> The 2016-17 ranking points from the official final listing published by the OJCT will be used to determine carry-forward points for 2017-18 for the best five events grossed up by a factor of 10 (see Appendix 4)

## ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

*ranking and/or carry forward purposes subject to the limits of total number of events allowed to be included;*

- i. Any and all events played in the upper level where points were scored (zero events do not count) would be included in the total points and number of events*
- ii. 75% of the best remaining points earned at the lower level can be included in the ranking and/or in the carry forward to a new age level. See example below based on Appendix 3, sample 1 points and event model*

Event	Points (using Appendix 3 Example 1 for points)	%	Revised Total
U18	182.85 (1 <sup>st</sup> place, six wins)	75%	137.14
U18	148.75 (2 <sup>nd</sup> place, five wins)	75%	111.56
U18	103.78 (3 <sup>rd</sup> or 4 <sup>th</sup> place, four wins)	75%	77.84
U21	69.68 (place 5 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> , three wins)	100%	69.68
U21	24.70 (less than 8 <sup>th</sup> place, two wins)	100%	24.70
		<b>Total</b>	<b>420.92</b>

Please refer to Appendix 3 which contains examples of how the event placement point and win point calculations would fit different event sizes, classifications and fields.

## Implementation and Partnership

To implement this transition and to maintain the new system going forward, individual athletes, teams, sanctioned events and the OJCT will have clear roles and responsibilities to make this pilot program a success for the coming two years and beyond. The following outlines those key responsibilities:

### The OJCT

- will continue to work with the junior curling community to source, develop and promote events at all levels of the athlete development spectrum for junior curlers in Ontario and provide the necessary tools for them to be promoted and operated successfully (included in this would be the OJCT website, online scoring, ranking, draw support and more) and be part of the Tour
- will evaluate and sanction events that are consistent with the development mandate and classify them as Tour events by age and level categories per the principles as approved by the Board of Directors
- will continue to serve in its traditional role of gathering the points and communicating this as changes occur throughout the season
- will provide the objective role of adjudication, both for events and their point value and the value earned by teams over the season

### Sanctioned events (all 2017-18 listed events<sup>3</sup> fall under the new points system)

- shall inform the OJCT annually of its intent to operate their event and any changes to the date and/or format in any given year that would give rise to changes in event classification
- should provide regular updates on their registration process and the number of spots available for entry
- should use, to the extent possible, the current ranking system or the carry-forward position from the wrap-around season to position participants in their event for seeding the format used. Exception is granted to the OVCA SuperSpiel that has a prescribed format based for its national and international field
- provide to the OJCT, one week before the scheduled event, the final event draw for input into the online scoring system, to determine the strength of field index to be applied for the event and the final event placement structures for positions 1-8
- verify the line-up used for each team in the event and, if any replacements are used from the registered team line-up, attest that the team has curled with the required number of registered team members (3) for the majority of games in the event including any playoff structure

---

<sup>3</sup> As of July 31, 2017 approved by the OJCT Board of Directors

- utilize the on-line scoring system provided by the Tour to show results or an approved alternate and send an email verifying the final results as shown in the online scoring and the verification of team line-ups

### Athletes and Teams

- once formed into teams for the 2017-18 season, teams must register with the OJCT through its website and pay an annual registration fee of \$20. In future years, registration for teams can occur from any date from the start of the new accumulation season (approximately December 1 of the current calendar) to the first event of the new calendar year (approximately September 1). The fee will be used to track points, address review or change requests made by a team during the season for points earned in events and to gain entry into OJCT Invitational events based on points earned in OJCT Tour events
- all four position players and any designated fifth player should be identified when registering. Teams still in formation (minimum of three players) may apply to register by contacting the OJCT by email if their final line up is not determined
- upon registration, a team may apply for a review of their carry-forward points from the 2016-17 season (see Appendix 4) based on changes to their 2017-18 team from their 2016-17 line-up
- once registered as a team and accepted to play in sanctioned tour events, teams should participate with the registered line-up. If any line-up changes are required for a sanctioned event a team is registered in, these should be reported to the event organizer. A minimum of three registered players are required to earn points in an event
- should apply in advance of attending non-sanctioned OJCT events they are considering for inclusion under the transferability rules

Partnership is vital to the initial and ongoing success of this revised ranking system. Two key partners have emerged to move forward with these proposed changes and will be consulted regularly for their input. Others will evolve and, of course, it could not happen without the athletes, teams, coaches and events that are part of the Tour, who will be actively consulted throughout.

The two key initial partners include:

- Curling Canada and the National Youth Feeder System Program - Partnering with Curling Canada and its youth feeder system initiative allows the OJCT to pilot many of these concepts prior to a full national junior ranking system implementation in 2018-19
- Ontario Curling Association - Partnering with the OCA allows the OCA to consider changing its qualification criteria and event structure, in the 2018-19 season, for its U21 Championships like the changes it has adopted for the men's and women's Provincial

Championships for the 2017-18 season. It will also allow it to create a similar structure for the U18 Championship which also lead to a National final. The partnership of the OCA and the OJCT will allow Ontario to be a leader among the Member Associations in how a national ranking system can used to enhance athlete development

**Appendix 1 - Strength of Field Index Matrix (Modified from the current CTRS)**

<b>Tour Ranking</b>	<b>SFI Contribution</b>
1	0.45
2	0.44
3	0.43
4	0.42
5	0.41
6	0.40
7	0.39
8	0.38
9	0.37
10	0.36
11	0.35
12	0.34
13	0.33
14	0.32
15	0.31
16	0.30
17	0.29
18	0.28
19	0.27
20	0.26
21	0.25
22-50	0.20
51+	0.15

## Appendix 2 - OJCT 2016-17 (and prior season) Point Assessment Methodology (for reference only)

For all sanctioned tour events, points will be assessed via the following formula:

$$P = (X*N/16)/E$$

$$\text{Points} = (\text{position } X \# \text{ of teams}/\text{rating})/\text{event finish}$$

Where:

N = the size of the event, measured in number of teams of the same sex when the event is segregated as such

X = factor, determined by event finish:

- Champion 18
- Runner-up 12
- Semi-finalist 8
- Quarter-finalist 6

Rating: Regular events=16, provincials=6

Event Finish: First Event=1, Second Event=2, Third Event=3

The values of X are assigned based on placement in what is considered the "playoff round" of an event. Where an event is initially seeded and played in round-robin format, the single-knockout round that follows is considered the playoff round. Teams that do not qualify for this round are assigned 1 point per win outside of the aforementioned formula (if any eligible wins were accrued in the round-robin or pre-qualification round). Similarly, for a tournament structured in multiple event format, events A-C or 1-3 are considered the playoff round, and are weighted by factor E. Teams that qualify for events 4-6 or D-F receive 1 point per win for round-robin (pre-qualification) play and additionally 1 point per win for wins accrued in their event (4-6 or D-F).

E = the finishing event (ie: Event A = 1, Event B = 2...), that is considered to be the playoff event i.e. for a two-event spiel, only Event A qualifies whereas for a four-event spiel only Events A through B qualify. Other events are eligible for points-per-win only. In the rare case of an odd number of events, only the final and potentially the semifinal will receive points per the formula i.e. a three-event spiel would see full formula points for Event A and partial for Event B. The OJCT may modify assessment where required to fit varying event formats.

Win points are awarded as single points during round-robin play for regular events and two points for provincial championships.

*\* U18 events derive points from this formula on a halved basis.*

*\*\* OCT events garner OJCT points on a halved basis (though, one-half point for 1 OCT win will not be assessed). While the OJCT will endeavour to track non-OJCT event results to the best of their ability, it is the responsibility of participating teams to report results of non-junior OJCT events via the contact form (if the event is not listed on the events page of the OJCT site, this clause is deemed to apply). Teams must play in a minimum of 3 OJCT events in order to be eligible for OCT event results and corresponding points and all of the team's players must be of junior age, including spares.*

### **The Fine Print**

*The OJCT reserves the right to adjust the application of this formula, only in circumstances where an event format has changed materially from previous years or what was expected by tour management prior to the outset of the season. Any adjustments would be made to maintain consistency and the equitable distribution of points across events.*

### Appendix 3 – Full Event Examples

The following event models represent examples of how points will be distributed by final placement of teams as well as the win points for the event based on differing criteria.

Regular Event Model				Strength of Field Multiplier					
Final Position	Base Level Points	X (Event or Field Size Multiplier + Strength of Field Multiplier)		Total Placement Points	Top 10 Teams	Teams 10 - 20	Teams 20 - 50	Teams 51+ or unranked	Normalized SFM
1	25	2.00	2.35	108.75	2.05	1.50	1.00	0.15	2.35
2	20	2.00	2.35	87.00	0.45	0.34	0.20	0.15	
3 - 4	12.5	2.00	2.35	54.38	0.43	0.32	0.20		
5 - 8	7.5	2.00	2.35	32.63	0.41	0.30	0.20		
Win Points	12.35				0.39	0.28	0.20		
Base Field	8	Factor	2.00		0.37	0.26	0.20		
Field Size	16								
Regular Event Model				Strength of Field Multiplier					
Final Position	Base Level Points	X (Event or Field Size Multiplier + Strength of Field Multiplier)		Total Placement Points	Top 10 Teams	Teams 10 - 20	Teams 20 - 50	Teams 51+ or unranked	Normalized SFM
1	25	1.50	2.27	94.17	1.29	0.96	1.00	0.15	2.27
2	20	1.50	2.27	75.33	0.45	0.34	0.20	0.15	
3 - 4	12.5	1.50	2.27	47.08	0.43	0.32	0.20		
5 - 8	7.5	1.50	2.27	28.25	0.41	0.30	0.20		
Win Points	12.27						0.20		
Base Field	8	Factor	1.50				0.20		
Field Size	12								
Major Event Model				Strength of Field Multiplier					
Final Position	Base Level Points	X (Event or Field Size Multiplier + Strength of Field Multiplier)		Total Placement Points	Top 10 Teams	Teams 10 - 20	Teams 20 - 50	Teams 51+ or unranked	Normalized SFM
1	25	2.50	2.92	135.40	2.54	1.83	0.00	0.00	2.92
2	20	2.50	2.92	108.32	0.45	0.34			
3 - 4	12.5	2.50	2.92	67.70	0.44	0.33			
5 - 8	7.5	2.50	2.92	40.62	0.43	0.32			
Win Points	12.92				0.41	0.30			
Base Field	8	Factor	2.50 (Major)		0.39	0.28			
Field Size	12				0.42	0.26			
(field includes US Team who placed top three in US finals)									

## Appendix 4 – Calculation of 2016-17 Carry-Forward Points

To transition into the carry-forward model for the 2017-18 season, the 2016-17 points and events will be used to create a carry-forward based on the team composition for last season and their line-ups. Below, four ranking charts list points for teams registered and participating in OJCT events last season. They represent the five best events scores at that level of competition in the 2016-17 season and have been grossed up by a factor of 10 to adjust for the difference for the calculation of points from the old system to the new system. It is not a precise calculation but acts as a good proxy. The individual points are created by dividing by 4. These totals will be subject to adjustment after team registration for the 2017-18 season which process should conclude for most teams by September 1, 2017 or as identified by events through the entries shared with the OJCT.

As the new system allows for transfer points for different levels of competition, this **will not** start fully until the 2018-19 season for 2017-18 calendar events. The OJCT may initiate, or teams may apply for, a points review at registration for the 2017-18 season for only transferable level points from U21 events for the U18 level and will be limited the 20% rule (principle 5 above) and only for events on the OJCT tour from 2016-17. An example of this would be a U18 team playing in a U21 event and earning points from that event may replace two of their U18 results with U21 events results from the 2016-17 season to establish their final carry-forward total. Teams that graduate to the next age level (U18 to U21) a calculation will be made subject to transferability rules in principle 5 section II.ii.

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U18 WOMEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points from 2016-17</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Gray	910	227.50
Bernard	650	162.50
Sutherland	640	160.00
Clendenan	570	142.50
Kiemele	530	132.50
Smith	500	125.00
Croisier	490	122.50
Deschenes	460	115.00
McKenzie	390	97.50
Steele	330	82.50
Heittola	320	80.00
Warriner	290	72.50
Snelgrove	290	72.50
Bartlett	280	70.00
Brunton	240	60.00
Pickett	210	52.50
Horton	180	45.00
Henderson	140	35.00
Comeau	130	32.50
Titkai	120	30.00
Richards	120	30.00
Romyn	120	30.00
Mackay	110	27.50
Madden	110	27.50
Fisher	100	25.00
Rodgers	100	25.00
Don	90	22.50
Middaugh	90	22.50
Guibault	80	20.00
J. Thorne	80	20.00
Robert	80	20.00
Beaudry	80	20.00
Mepstead	70	17.50
Burke	60	15.00
Flannery	50	12.50
Byers	40	10.00
Johnston	40	10.00
Doig	30	7.50
Kropf	30	7.50
Laitar	30	7.50

## ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

Evely	20	5.00
Grant	20	5.00
L. Thorne	20	5.00
Lupsa	20	5.00
Warren	20	5.00
Jesseau	20	5.00
Bown	10	2.50
Cowan	10	2.50
Davenport	10	2.50
Dobson	10	2.50
Fraser	10	2.50
Lovitt	10	2.50
Nettleton	10	2.50
Taylor	10	2.50
Willertz	10	2.50
Woodend	10	2.50

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U18 MEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points from 2016-17</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Mooibroek	790	197.50
Enman	660	165.00
Fayaz	640	160.00
Purdy	560	140.00
Inglis	550	137.50
Leung	500	125.00
Running	460	115.00
Rooney	450	112.50
Del Conte	440	110.00
Sinnett	400	100.00
Rotskas	370	92.50
McNamara	370	92.50
Goves	330	82.50
Hahn	320	80.00
Kirby	300	75.00
Horgan	240	60.00
Hart	220	55.00
Steffler	210	52.50
Massey	210	52.50
Islam	160	40.00
Harding	160	40.00
Jones	150	37.50
LaSalle	140	35.00
Domaratzki	120	30.00
McGrade	110	27.50
Kelly	100	25.00
Tummon	100	25.00
Lemieux	90	22.50
Stoner	90	22.50
vandeLande	80	20.00
Landry	80	20.00
Daigle	70	17.50
Flannery	50	12.50
Boswell	50	12.50
Gazeley	50	12.50
King	50	12.50
Watson	50	12.50
Cheal	40	10.00
Dumas	40	10.00

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U18 MEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points from 2016-17</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Vellinga	40	10.00
Ramsay	30	7.50
Alpaugh	20	5.00
Egan	20	5.00
Langworth	20	5.00
Mitchell	20	5.00
Silver	20	5.00
Benoit	10	2.50
Bisson	10	2.50
Crowe	10	2.50
Guindon	10	2.50
Hatton	10	2.50
Hoselin	10	2.50
MacKay	10	2.50
Schneider	10	2.50
Elwing	10	2.50

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U21 WOMEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Armstrong	910	227.50
E. Wallingford	820	205.00
Anderson	530	132.50
Burns	500	125.00
Little	490	122.50
Rozon	430	107.50
Auld	430	107.50
Murphy	410	102.50
Reimer	400	100.00
Greenwood	390	97.50
Brandwood	380	95.00
Sutherland	370	92.50
Brunton	360	90.00
St. Georges	320	80.00
Gray	190	47.50
Daly	180	45.00
Dubberstein	150	37.50
Wong	150	37.50
Clendenan	150	37.50
Bernard	150	37.50
Lavoie	140	35.00
Bear	110	27.50
Taylor	110	27.50
Mackay	100	25.00
J. Thorne	90	22.50
Kiemele	90	22.50
M. Comeau	80	20.00
Morris	80	20.00
Thorne	80	20.00
Barclay	70	17.50
Burke	70	17.50
Witschonke	60	15.00
Crook	60	15.00
Boisvert	50	12.50
Daniels	50	12.50
Warren	40	10.00
Allen	30	7.50
Arnold	20	5.00
Deschenes	20	5.00
Su	20	5.00

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U21 WOMEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Chin	20	5.00
Beaudry	20	5.00
Audrey	10	2.50
Legler	10	2.50
Lydiate	10	2.50
Macaulay	10	2.50
Steele	10	2.50

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

U21 MEN'S TEAMS	2017-18 Team Carryforward points	Individual Player Points
Hall	820	205.00
Horgan	690	172.50
Michaud	570	142.50
Scott	540	135.00
Calwell	490	122.50
Warkentin	490	122.50
Lyon-Hatcher	410	102.50
Hahn	390	97.50
C. Richard	380	95.00
Poole	330	82.50
Mooibroek	300	75.00
Harris	260	65.00
McNamara	260	65.00
Delisle	240	60.00
Leslie	230	57.50
Doig	230	57.50
Enman	220	55.00
Clawson	200	50.00
Repmann	180	45.00
Stoner	180	45.00
Hess	170	42.50
Hughes	140	35.00
Stopera	140	35.00
Cull	120	30.00
Lemieux	120	30.00
Provencher	100	25.00
Pierce	90	22.50
Roberge	80	20.00
Currie	50	12.50
Kelly	50	12.50
LaSalle	40	10.00
Liang	40	10.00
S. Richard	40	10.00
McDonald	30	7.50
Paulley	30	7.50
Boland	20	5.00
Leung	20	5.00
Marin	20	5.00
Diebel	20	5.00
Senecal	20	5.00

ONTARIO JUNIOR CURLING TOUR POINTS AND RANKING SYSTEM

<b>U21 MEN'S TEAMS</b>	<b>2017-18 Team Carryforward points</b>	<b>Individual Player Points</b>
Clouser	20	5.00
Carlson	10	2.50
Daigle	10	2.50
Fayaz	10	2.50
Goves	10	2.50
Kirby	10	2.50
Tummon	10	2.50
Valair	10	2.50
Steep	10	2.50